United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,443	05/19/2006	Harald Eckert	ZAHFRI P858US	6313	
²⁰²¹⁰ DAVIS & BUJ	7590 09/26/200 OLD. P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER			
112 PLEASAN	IT STREET	PANG, ROGER L			
CONCORD, N	U 02301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
·			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,443	ECKERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
·	Roger L. Pang	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4-20-06</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to application 11/576,443 filed on May 19, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 6:

- on line 5-6, the interior gear is claimed to engaged with the housing and sun gear. However, the gear itself does not engage either part. The interior gear is fixed to the parts (via the collar).

With regard to claim 7:

- on line 4, "diameter" should be replaced with --bore--.

With regard to claim 9, it is unclear how the holding ring is in the loose upper side of the centering bore, when the ring is located on the collar. In the specification, the collar is said to be on the upper section of the centering bore, however, "on the upper section of a bore" seems to be an inaccurate description.

With regard to claim 10, it is unclear how the holding ring (which is located on the collar) can be shrunk fit onto the hub.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Galicher '827 (from IDS). With regard to claim 6. Galicher teaches a planetary transmission for machine tools, the planetary transmission comprising: a drive shaft 1 connected to a sun gear 7; an output shaft 2 connected to a planetary carrier 12; an interior gear 9 which, in a first operating position, is fixed to a housing and, in a second operating position, is fixed to the sun gear; a hub 4 that concentrically surrounds the drive shaft; and a sliding collar 17 that concentrically surrounds the hub and engages with the hub in one of the first operating position and the second operating position; the sliding collar engages a centering bore 18 in a loose end of the interior gear concentrically located outside the hub; and the centering bore, in the engaged position of the sliding collar, concentrically engages with the hub over an axle of the hub and is placed over a centering collar 5. With regard to claims 9-10, the synchronizing ring that meshes with 43 is considered the "holding ring" as it is located on the collar, and is shrunk fit to the shaft 46.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 6, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eckert '0019. With regard to claims 9-10, the shrunk fit inner race of the bearing located on the collar is considered "holding ring."

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galicher as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carnagua '944 (from IDS). With regard to claim 7, Galicher teaches the transmission, wherein the centering bore first comes into contact with the centering collar by approaching the centering collar, axially in a displacement direction of the centering bore, but lacks the teaching of a beveled running edge of the centering bore. Carnagua teaches a centering bore 28 coming into contact with a centering collar 29 with a beveled running edge of the centering bore (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3681

at the time of the invention to modify Galicher to employ a beveled running edge of the centering bore in further view of Ganagua in order to facilitate smoother engagement. With regard to claim 8, Galicher teaches the transmission, wherein the centering collar first comes into contact with the centering bore by approaching the centering bore, axially in a displacement direction of the centering bore, but lacks the teaching of a beveled running edge of the centering collar. Carnagua teaches a centering collar 29 coming into contact with a centering bore 28 with a beveled running edge of the centering collar (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Galicher to employ a beveled running edge of the centering collar in further view of Ganagua in order to facilitate smoother engagement.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oun, Kelbel, and Larsson has been cited to show a similar transmission.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general,

Application/Control Number: 10/576,443

Art Unit: 3681

most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby	certify	that this	correspoi	ndence	is being	facsimile	transmi	ited to	the	Patent	and
Tradema	ark Offi	ce (Fax]	No. (571)	273-83	00) on _		(Da	ate)			

Typed or printed	name of perso	on signing this	certificate:
			-
(Signature)			_

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the

Art Unit: 3681

processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roger L Parig Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

September 24, 2007